

TOWN COUNCIL MEETING NO. 788, APRIL 14, 2010

ROLL CALL

Mayor Toben called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance. Ms. Howard called the roll:

Present: Councilmembers Derwin, Richards and Wengert, and Mayor Toben
Absent: Councilmember Driscoll
Others: Dep. Planner Vlastic, Town Manager Howard, Town Attorney Sloan, Planning Manager Lambert, Asst. Town Manager McDougall, and Town Clerk Hanlon

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS: None.

ADDITION OF URGENCY ITEM

Ms. Howard said a section of storm drain pipe on Portola Road at Hayfields Road needed repair as soon as possible. By motion of Councilmember Derwin, seconded by Councilmember Richards, the item was added to the agenda as item #7a by a vote of 4-0.

CONSENT AGENDA

By motion of Councilmember Wengert, seconded by Councilmember Derwin, the items listed below were approved with the following roll call vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Derwin, Richards and Wengert, and Mayor Toben
Noes: None

- (2) Warrant List of 4/14/10 in the Amount of \$176,940.82.
- (3) Resolution No. 2484-2010 Declaring April 26 Through April 30, 2010, Mosquito and Vector Control and West Nile Virus Awareness Week, per staff memo dated 4/7/10.
- (4) Resolution No. 2485-2010 Approving and Authorizing Fifth Amendment to the Agreement Between the Town and Maze & Associates Accountancy Corporation, per Admin. Services Officer's memo of 4/14/10.

REGULAR AGENDA

- (1) Minutes of Town Council Meeting of 3/24/10 (Removed from Consent Agenda)

Councilmember Richards and Mayor Toben submitted changes to the minutes of the 3/24/10 meeting. By motion and second, the minutes were approved as amended by a vote of 4-0.

- (5) Request for Modification of Private Open Space Easement (POSE) to Accommodate Building Envelope Adjustments, Lot 28, 9 Buck Meadow Drive, Blue Oaks Subdivision [7:35 p.m.]

Mr. Vlastic reviewed the staff report dated 4/7/10 on the request to: a) abandon 5,700 sf of POSE on the subject Blue Oaks property; and b) dedicate 6,600 sf of POSE area as shown on the attachments. He said the two neighbors on lots 27 and 28 had an area between them that they would like to expand so that they could enhance privacy. That was acceptable to the homeowners' association, and staff recommended

moving ahead with it. Responding to Councilmember Wengert, he said the applicant was responsible for any associated costs.

Councilmember Derwin noted that this had been well vetted. Councilmember Wengert concurred. She moved to accept the proposed POSE changes and direct staff to work with the applicant to prepare the necessary abandonment and dedication documents for final approval by the Council. Councilmember Derwin seconded, and the motion carried 4-0.

(6) Appeal of Staff Decision to Require Sanitary Sewer Connection for 295 Golden Oak Drive, Corman Project [7:45 p.m.]

Ms. Lambert reviewed the staff report of 4/14/10 on the appeal. As indicated in the staff report, she said San Mateo County Health Department had issued some septic repair permits to existing systems. But, these repair permits were not associated with any building permits for remodels, additions or new residential construction. They were strictly for repair of the septic system. In some cases, the permit was issued to replace an old redwood tank with no involvement of leachfields. Two of the projects included some leachfield upgrades. The Health Dept. indicated that some septic repair permits had been issued to residents that might be within 200 feet of the sanitary sewer. They indicated they would be more diligent in their review of any repairs or upgrades to existing septic systems. She said staff recommended the Council deny the appeal. She used the site plan to show the location of the septic drainfields in relation to the house.

Tedd Corman, applicant, said part of the argument suggesting refusal of this was that the Town had decided that it wanted to implement the CA Plumbing Code (CPC). Clearly, the precedent had been set where the Town had not adhered to the CPC. These modifications, whether small or large, were in direct violation of the CPC. Precedent had been set even within his reimbursement district and modifications made to septic systems in violation of the CPC. Mayor Toben asked what specific provisions of the CPC had the Town violated. Responding, Mr. Corman said any building within 200 feet of a sewer line could not have any modification made to an existing septic system whatsoever. You must hook up to the sewer system. That was very clearly outlined in the CPC. There was already precedent set. Furthermore, the enforcement of the CPC was up to the Town. The Town did not have to enforce the CPC if it didn't want to. An interesting contrast was the Town of Woodside that had chosen not to enforce the CPC. They went in the complete opposite direction from Portola Valley. They made all residents use septic systems, and they had to prove that they could not use a septic system if they wanted to hook up to a sewer system. The reasoning behind that was in part because they felt it was clearly environmentally beneficial to use a septic system versus a sewer system. Putting rules and regulations aside, the more fundamental issue was environmental impact. Contrary to what Ms. Lambert said, he believed and could substantiate that it was indisputably a better environmental solution to go with a septic system than a sewer system. Experts could be brought in who would attest to that. In this particular case, he had approval from the County and approval from the Town Geologist. The septic system he proposed was designed by one of the most experienced septic designers in the County. They had all signed off on it and said it was a completely acceptable system. There were some concerns expressed about the possibility that it could fail. If that was the case, the County and the Town Geologist would not have signed off on a system that they thought would be of any danger. Even assuming there was some danger of failure, the environmental impact of a failed sewer system was much worse than a failed septic system. There was an aging infrastructure here, and the sewer system was a forced system, which required grinders and pumps. They took electricity. The processing facility also used tremendous resources and dumped sewage into the Bay. West Bay Sanitation documented raw sewage spilled in the last year, and they had been sued. They were probably the worst polluter in San Mateo County. If you looked at those environmental tradeoffs, clearly a septic system was a much more environmentally friendly system. Furthermore, he consulted with LEED and Build It Green. They believed that given the scenario here, they would in fact give him points for going with a septic system because the alternative was a much worse environmental alternative in their opinion. Furthermore, there were small

improvements that could be made to the system, which clearly would get LEED points for aerobic and gray wastewater, which could easily be done. With regard to the Town Center, he didn't dispute whether it was within 200 feet or not within 200 feet. He believed the reason the Town decided not to hook up to the sewer system was because they knew that a septic system was a better solution. He thought it was pretty clear what the better alternative was from an environmental perspective. Given that the Town prided itself on sustainability and environmental responsibility, to go in the other direction could potentially be a pretty big embarrassment to the Town. It was also very hypocritical on the Town's part.

Ms. Lambert said the Town did not violate the Uniform Plumbing Code. The Town did not issue repair or upgrade permits; the San Mateo County Health Department did. Additionally, Public Works Director Nagengast from the Town of Woodside indicated that Woodside had a very limited capacity for sewer connection. Most of it was in the commercial center of the town. They allowed people to connect if the property had a failed septic system or they showed that they needed to connect. Otherwise, they discouraged it because of the limited access. Mayor Toben added that Mayor Dave Burow lamented their situation with regard to sewer capacity. They depended on the generosity of Redwood City, which provided the infrastructure for their sewage.

Responding to Councilmember Derwin, Ms. Lambert said if someone wanted to repair their septic tank, they would need to go to the San Mateo County Health Dept. The Town did not issue the permit. Responding to Councilmember Derwin, Ms. Sloan said some things fell through the crack because there wasn't enough coordination between the Town and the County Health Dept. when the Town adopted the Plumbing Code. If someone was only repairing their septic system, they did not have to come to the Town. They got the permit from the County Health Dept. The Health Dept. used their discretion. If it was just replacing a tank or a minor repair, they issued the permit without checking whether it was within 200 feet of a sewer. If someone came to the Town and wanted to remodel or build a new house and the septic system was part of what they were doing, the Town would require them to hook up to the sewer because the Town was also issuing permits for the building. Responding to Mayor Toben, she confirmed that the Town was consistent about that. Responding to Mayor Toben, she said the Town adopted the 2007 Plumbing Code in 2008. Councilmember Derwin asked if everyone on Golden Oak who wanted to repair their septic system had to connect to the sewer. Responding, Ms. Sloan said it depended on the definition of repair. Staff would be meeting with the County to discuss what was reasonable. If someone was not expanding their house but just replacing a leaking redwood tank with a concrete sealed tank, perhaps there was no reason to make them connect to the sewer. Some policy could be established about what was repair versus replacement. She felt the Plumbing Code was looking at a new house or expansion where the leachfield failed. Ms. Lambert added that over the last few years, the County had issued 27 repair or upgrade permits in Portola Valley; 10 of those were for just replacing the septic tank; the other 17 were for leachfields. Of those 27 permits, only 4 were within 200 feet of the sewer.

Responding to Councilmember Richards, Ms. Sloan read Section 713.4 as quoted in the staff report (p. 2) on when a public sewer was considered not available. Additionally, she reiterated that the County let some things slip through the crack. But, there was no rationale not to enforce something because it hadn't been enforced before. Councilmember Derwin said she felt enforcement had been inconsistent.

Responding to Councilmember Derwin, Ms. Sloan said the sewer for Golden Oak was a public sewer. West Bay Sanitary District allowed expansion of sewers if a private party wanted to put the money up front and construct the sewer. When the sewer was complete, it was inspected and accepted into the public system by West Bay. It was exactly like a road. When the Blue Oaks subdivision was developed, the roads were constructed in the subdivision by the private developer. When they were inspected, they were accepted by the Town as public.

Mr. Corman said in some consultations, there was some question as to whether those systems were in fact public because they were privately financed. The nature of the reimbursement agreements was such that

private individuals paid for those lines to be run. As people hooked up to it or were forced to hook up to it, they had pay back reimbursement agreements. That was very unusual in terms of public sewer systems, which were typically financed through bond measures or real estate tax assessments.

Bonnie Sterngold, Peak Lane, said she spent one-quarter of \$1 million to put in that sewer line and had a lot invested in it. She was not allowed to remodel her house unless that was done. She had not been given a choice. Her property was kitty-corner to the Corman property. Her property did not pass the perc test. It had the same soil, etc. When she remodeled, there were a lot of septic systems in the area that were failing. There were slides on Minoca. At the Town Center, it was probably very different soil than what was on Peak and Golden Oak. She was not allowed to do anything to the sewer even though she paid for it. As people remodeled and hooked up, she would be paid back. She added that she was glad that she had hooked up to the sewer.

Responding to Councilmember Derwin, Ms. Lambert confirmed that the revised plans were approved by the Town Geologist, Public Works Director and County Health Department. It wasn't brought to the Council until staff knew that a septic could be accommodated. Staff disagreed that a septic system would be equally good or a better form of construction. Responding to Councilmember Derwin, she said the Town did not receive LEED points for its septic system. Responding to Councilmember Derwin, she said in comparing Mr. Corman's property to an average site that included septic drainfields and expansion fields, there was no room to spare. Councilmember Derwin said she understood there were some innovative systems on small lots. Ms. Lambert said San Mateo County Health Department needed to be more engaged in more innovative approaches. Councilmember Derwin said she took issue with the stated fact in the staff report that septic systems were not as green. If you had the land and topographic features to treat waste on site, she felt that was a greener alternative. She understood there were conflicting opinions.

Councilmember Richards said he was very conflicted on the issue. He agreed there was no consensus on which was greener. There were certainly cases where septic systems were not as green in places that had a "C" perc test or less. He was surprised that this lot rated "B" perc, which was fairly good. He also agreed that it barely fit on this site. With projects he was involved in, if there was a possibility to hook up with the sewer, that was done because otherwise it limited what you could do with the property. In some cases, septic systems were greener. But, he did not know if this was one of them. Some of the driving force for requiring sewer hook up was the huge capital investment that had been made. Seeing the tightness of the lot and the fact that it was "B" perc and not an "A," he was inclined to lean against a septic system. The Town also needed to be consistent in requiring people to tie in. But, he was still struggling with it.

Councilmember Wengert said this problem had many dimensions and was made more complicated by the conflicting evidence relative to green data. The Town had the responsibility going forward to inform itself on where that was coming down in certain situations. In this case, the ordinances and Plumbing Code that had been adopted were clear, and the Town tried to apply them uniformly to all the properties in Town. When exceptions were being requested as in this situation, the evidence needed to be very clear and incontrovertible that the exception should be granted. In this situation, it was further complicated by the fact that citizens had privately funded the sewer and had every reason to believe they would be paid back by the requirement that others hook into the system. The Town needed a completely different solution in terms of how to finance sewers going forward or potentially pay off existing financing that had already been put in place by private individuals before being on safe ground to rescind current regulations. She wanted to come up with a different long-term solution for the Town because this issue would surface again. In this situation, even though it would impose some financial burden on the homeowners, all the evidence and regulations as well as the need for consistency moved her towards requiring the sewer hook up.

Councilmember Derwin said the fact that West Bay set up a pyramid scheme for people who had been forced to hook up to the sewer shouldn't affect the Council's decision. She felt badly that people had paid \$250,000 and wouldn't get their money out. But, she was not comfortable with the staff recommendation

and did not feel that the Town had been consistent in enforcing the Plumbing Code. There was an *Almanac* article in December about Baykeepers who brought a suit against West Bay for sewage overflows. They had twice the number of sewage overflows in the State. They admitted that their clay pipes were aging and some were over 100 years old. You were not guaranteed that sewage sent through West Bay's old clay pipes would be handled properly. Additionally, she was not convinced that hooking up to the sewer was the green alternative. She recalled when the Town decided to do septic instead of sewer at Town Center, it had a lot to do with it being the greener alternative. She felt disingenuous and hypocritical telling someone they had to hook up to the sewer when it might not be the best way to go. She didn't see any evidence that the septic system wouldn't work. It had been signed off by the Town Geologist, staff and the County Health Department. They had done major revisions, and the architect was extremely renowned. She disagreed with staff's recommendation.

Mayor Toben said there was a system in place that favored the requirement for hook up to the sewer. In the absence of any incontrovertible evidence that this was an exception to the policy that the Town should enable hookup, he would defer to the staff's analysis. No one would ever suggest that the staff was biased against a green solution. In any situation where there might be a possibility of finding a more sustainable route, the staff would turn over every stone to do so. The fact that they had not been able to make that conclusion, plus the fact that there was a regime in place that strongly moved the Town in the direction of enabling cost recovery, was sufficient to give him confidence that the Council was on solid ground to require hook up.

Councilmember Derwin suggested directing staff to look into the merits of septic versus sewer and think about not enforcing the Plumbing Code requirements as Woodside had done. Ms. Sloan said staff agreed it should be looked at; it might require hiring an expert consultant. Mayor Toben added that Woodside might be thinking more about capacity than green. They were motivated by a different set of constraints. He agreed that the Town should look thoughtfully at emerging best practices for managing waste on site. Councilmember Derwin suggested also looking into graywater. If you diverted graywater, it would take the load off of the septic system. You could also use it for landscaping. Constructed wetland was another option. Mayor Toben said the circumstances of each particular property would drive certain outcomes. In this case, this was a tight lot with a "B" perc. That was a very different situation from the 11-acre Town Center campus. He agreed the Town could do more to understand better the premises on which to proceed.

Mr. Corman said the County Health Department indicated that septic was a better solution. His septic designer was an expert on sewage waste and would state that septic was a better solution. LEED would stand up and indicate this was a better solution. He asked what other indisputable evidence the Council required. Responding, Ms. Sloan said Ms. Lambert's conversations with Siegel and Strain and the people at LEED and BIG indicated that they would not give LEED points. The fact that a County Health Officer said it would perc did not mean it was a better system. Mayor Toben said there needed to be clear and convincing evidence that a septic system on site would have greater environmental benefits than the cost of transporting it off site. On the negative side, there were the potential problems of leakage, insufficient capacity, old clay pipes and discharges into the Bay. You couldn't compare those apples and oranges and arrive at a definitive answer.

Andrew Sterngold, Peak Lane, said it was a little late to be discovering this was a better solution. In 2002, he did his remodel, and he did not have a choice. There was no sewer close to him that he could hook into for a reasonable cost. He was mandated by the Town to build the sewer. He had a vested interest in this, but this was beyond that. The precedent had been set, and there had to be follow-through on this. You couldn't change direction because one particular situation happened to perc. He thought hook up was a viable proposition, and he did it. Mayor Toben said a lot of knowledge was evolving very rapidly. Since 2002, there were now arguments that there were innovative ways of managing human waste on site that weren't imagined eight years ago. But, the record was indeterminate. Mr. Sterngold said he grew up in

New Jersey where sewers were part of public works. He had had to make that investment as a private citizen. If it was to be abandoned, he wanted to be paid back. Mayor Toben said no one on the Council was a fan of the cost structure that West Bay imposed on residents.

Councilmember Wengert moved to adopt the staff recommendation and require connection to the sanitary sewer. Councilmember Richards seconded, and the motion carried 3-1 (Derwin).

(8) Report on Strategic Goals and Operational Plans for Emergency Preparedness Committee [8:20 p.m.] [Item reordered on agenda]

Chris Raanes, Chair-EPC, introduced other members of the EPC present: John Boice, David Howes and Marianne Plunder. He gave a presentation and discussed tasks planned or ongoing to attain each of the 2010 goals listed under the major categories of: 1) emergency strategies; 2) emergency operations center; 3) radio communications; 4) staffing and training; and 5) community outreach

Mayor Toben asked if there was a way to formalize a corps of ham operators who would be available to residents to communicate with family/people outside of the Town during or after an emergency. A form might be developed where residents could fill in numbers to call to indicate things were "okay." The Town would be isolated during a disaster, and he did not want his relatives terrorized about what the situation was. Mr. Raanes noted that data collected for CERPP neighborhoods included emergency contact numbers. He said the EPC would discuss it. At this point, the EPC was more concerned about the direct communication with the EOC, fire department and Sheriff. Responding to Ms. Plunder, he said a satellite phone had been tested, but it didn't work.

On training, Mayor Toben suggested inviting relevant committees to come to a 5th Wednesday meeting and consider what their special roles would be in the aftermath of an emergency. The Traffic Committee might learn about traffic direction in the aftermath of an emergency. The Public Works Committee might have responsibility for clearing road debris. He would like to program people to think about what their special contributions might be in the aftermath of an emergency that aligned with the functions their committee carried out on behalf of the Town. Mr. Raanes added that the EPC strongly supported and appreciated the concept of the fifth Wednesday meeting dedicated to emergency preparedness.

On community outreach, Mr. Raanes said he would try to get some data on the number of visits to the committee's emergency-related web pages. David Howes noted that CERPP had re-designed their website, and it was close to being complete. The old website was *CERPP.org*. The new site was *newsite.CERPP.org*. It was a huge benefit to the EPC as far as concerns about neighborhood involvement.

Mayor Toben said developing a medical corps had also been discussed. While he understood that MDs would be expected to report to their stations outside of Town, there might be retired physicians, part-time nurses, etc., who could assist. He hoped that would be included on the EPC's work plan for 2011. Mr. Raanes said his CERPP division had identified several key medical resources. He would inquire at the Board level to see if that was throughout CERPP. Ms. Plunder said all you needed was one doctor or nurse who could lead.

Mayor Toben noted that several comments during the presentation underscored the need for further communication/collaboration with CERPP. There was still some ambiguity about who was covering what bases. He hoped for more precision about that division of labor and accountability. He said the Council had asked the Town Manger/Emergency Operations Director to take that on. He had also suggested that the Town, Woodside and the Fire District consider jointly funding a part-time position that would be dedicated to coordinating functions within CERPP.

Mr. Raanes said he felt the EPC was making good progress and was on track. He thanked the Council for

their support.

(7) Introduction and First Reading by Title of Amendment to Solicitation Ordinance [*Item re-ordered on agenda*] [8:45 p.m.]

Ms. Sloan reviewed her staff report of 4/6/10 on the revised amendment to the Solicitation Ordinance. She noted that the "Do Not Contact List" was changed to "Do Not Solicit List" to clarify any confusion over the purpose of the list. She added that Ms. McDougall had prepared the information designed to educate the residents. Assuming the Council moved ahead with this, a resolution establishing the fee would be brought back to the Council. The recommended fee was \$25.

Mayor Toben suggested underscoring the point that the CA Penal Code expressly exempted from the definition of criminal trespass people coming onto private property for political or religious purposes. Ms. Sloan thought that might invite people to do other things. Ed Davis thought that people could rip a page out of the Bible, stick it in their back pocket, and come up his driveway with the intent of burglarizing his house. If challenged, they could pull this one piece of paper out. She did not see any reason to flag that. She thought first amendment political and religious expression was pretty fundamental. If a citizen complained, staff could explain it to them.

Councilmember Wengert thought the ordinance captured everything the Council talked about doing and that the outreach letter was clear and gave residents all the options. Councilmember Richards concurred.

Councilmember Derwin moved introduction and first reading by title, waive further reading, of an ordinance amending Chapter 5.40 of the Portola Valley Municipal Code regarding solicitation. Councilmember Wengert seconded, and the motion carried 4-0.

(7a) Storm Drain Pipe Rehabilitation – Portola Road at Hayfields Road [*Added as urgency item*] [8:50 p.m.]

Ms. Howard reviewed the staff report of 4/14/10 on the repair needed for the drain pipe on Portola Road at Hayfields Road. The contractor hoped to start the work by Friday and have it done by early next week.

Councilmember Richards moved to adopt Resolution No. 2486-2010 Authorizing the Town Manager to Execute an Agreement Between the Town and Casey Construction, Inc. Councilmember Derwin seconded, and the motion carried 4-0.

COUNCIL, STAFF, COMMITTEE REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

(9) Reports from Commission and Committee Liaisons [8:51p.m.]

(a) Historic Resources Committee

Councilmember Derwin said the Committee was working on a video project where 24 Town residents were interviewed on Portola Valley history. They also discussed their budget and a sign for the historic resources room. Additionally, the Town Historian was still concerned about the Woods Estate and what would happen to it. It was the oldest mansion in the Town and the first designed by an architect. She said she would follow up with Councilmember Driscoll.

(b) Council of Cities

Councilmember Derwin said Nathan Ballard, Burson-Marsteller, spoke on the essentials of media relations. At the next meeting, they would discuss high-speed rail.

(c) Library JPA

Councilmember Derwin said the group discussed the e-library. Librarians would be making presentations at council meetings.

(d) C/CAG

Councilmember Derwin said State Senator Leland Yee discussed the State's budget shortfall, the initiative process, etc. Subgroups addressed: 1) improving C/CAG to be more effective; 2) effectiveness of C/CAG on transportation; and 3) other ways in which C/CAG could play a more collaborative role with cities such as shared services, availability of grants, etc.

(e) ASCC

Councilmember Derwin said the ASCC reviewed two applications for additions and remodeling. They also discussed story pole placement.

(f) Planning Commission

Councilmember Richards said the Planning Commission discussed the T-Mobile application for a wireless facility on Golden Oak at Peak Lane. Most of the discussion was on alternatives, such as a micro-cell system, and more information had been requested. Responding to Mayor Toben, Ms. Sloan said the Commission would need to take action 150 days from the date the application was deemed complete, which was the middle of March. She added that the Commission was interested in a peer review of the technology and the technical arguments that T-Mobile had made. Responding to Councilmember Derwin, she said she would provide more guidance to the Commission in a memo.

(g) Trails and Paths Committee

Councilmember Richards said the Committee discussed the trail at Town Center. A recommendation would be forthcoming. They also discussed driveway scoring after resurfacing, the Town's webpage on trail use, and bikes on "no bike" trails.

(h) Ad-hoc Spring Down Master Plan Committee

Councilmember Wengert said the next meeting would be held tomorrow. The hydrologist's report would be discussed, and a recommendation would be forthcoming. Councilmember Richards added that the Trails Committee also discussed what was proposed.

(i) Airport Roundtable

Mayor Toben said there was an item in the 4/9/10 digest regarding San Jose arrivals over southern San Mateo County. Southwest would be bringing in its aircraft at 5,400 feet over Woodside. The Southwest representative appeared eager to collaborate with the cities in southern San Mateo County. He noted that a resident from Portola Valley also attended the meeting, and he would be meeting with her.

WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS [9:15 p.m.]

(10) Town Council 3/26/10 Weekly Digest: None

(11) Town Council 4/2/10 Weekly Digest

(a) ASCC/Planning Commission Special Meeting

Referring to Ms. Lambert's email, Ms. Sloan said four Planning Commissioners and three ASCC members attended the special meeting on 4/7/10 at which she discussed the Brown Act, quasi-judicial decisions, due process, findings, and staff inspections/enforcement. Those in attendance would be given credit for one hour of ethics training.

(b) Spring Class Schedule

Referring to the schedule, Ms. Howard said there was a lot going on in Town.

(c) Sausal Creek Project at Town Center

Mayor Toben referred to the email from Jen Smith thanking everyone involved in the daylighting and planting of the banks of the creek at the Town Center. He extended his thanks to everyone who participated in getting the job done.

(12) Town Council 4/9/10 Weekly Digest

(a) Town Meeting Transcription

Referring to Ms. McDougall's memo, Ms. Howard said the Town was looking for someone to prepare the Planning Commission and Council minutes.

(b) BMX Bikers

Referring to Cameron Streck's email requesting a park for BMX bikers, Mayor Toben said the Town did not have terrain to even consider a facility. But, he felt the request was legitimate. Young teens needed constructive activities and didn't feel there was much out there for them. Years ago, he discovered with his sons some terrain at Arastradero Preserve where kids had made humps and bumps. He asked staff to respond to the email.

ADJOURNMENT: 9:20 p.m.

Mayor

Town Clerk