PORTOLA VALLEY TOWN COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING NO. 908 APRIL 22, 2015

I CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Vice Mayor Derwin called the Town Council's regular meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance. Ms. de Garmeaux called the roll.

Present: Councilmembers Craig Hughes, John Richards, Ann Wengert; Vice Mayor Maryann Moise

Derwin

Absent: Mayor Aalfs

Others: Nick Pegueros, Town Manager

Leigh Prince, Town Attorney

Brandi de Garmeaux

Debbie Pedro, Town Planner

II ORAL COMMUNICATIONS [7:31 p.m.]

Vic Schachter, of the Ad Hoc Citizens Committee on Airplane Noise Abatement for the South Bay, reported the committee is preparing a brief to be submitted to the 9th Circuit Court, due in May. As expected, he said the FAA provided 30,000 pages of documents. He said the Phoenix Mayor has threatened a lawsuit and exposed the FAA lies. He said this is happening in Chicago, Boston, and other locations. He said they have 1,100 signatures and is truly a Portola Valley grass roots issue. The Committee is requesting financial support from the Town. He reported that the Palo Alto Council is helping support the cost of an expert to support their efforts, which are comparable to Portola Valley's efforts. He asked the Council to consider this as a contribution to help them support bringing an expert in. He said the Committee has provided more than 1,000 hours of volunteer work and have raised more than \$20,000 to support the litigation. He believes that unless the pressure on the FAA continues, there will be no change. He pointed out, with all due respect to those participating in the Roundtable, that the Roundtable is not capable of dealing with this issue, despite the best efforts of the participants. He said the two Congresswomen who have verbalized support, have not brought any meaningful results.

Tina Nguyen, of the Ad Hoc Citizens Committee on Airplane Noise Abatement for the South Bay, provided a review of the petition signature gathering process. She noted the more than 100 comments also notated on the petition, a copy of which she provided to the Council. She said she has reached out to Palo Alto and East Palo Alto who are working daily on this issue. She said they have submitted a proposal from the study which is being currently reviewed by the City Manager's office. She said they have also reached out to the national organizations and communities. She pointed out that their request to the Town Council is not unprecedented and said that cities such as Palos Verdes, Del Mar, and Foster City have hired aviation consultants.

In closing, Mr. Schachter said the Ad Hoc Citizens Committee on Airplane is specifically requesting that the Town Council research as to the propriety and possibility of contributing to their efforts. They further request that the Council report back to the Committee regarding the pros and cons and their decision. He stressed that they are not requesting the Town to underwrite the litigation, but specifically would like financial support to hire an expert consultant.

Vice Mayor Derwin suggested that staff be directed to look into this and then bring it back for discussion. The Council agreed. Mr. Pegueros said they would report back at the May 13, 2015, Council meeting.

III CONSENT AGENDA [7:40 p.m.]

- (1) <u>Recommendation by Administrative Services Manager</u>: Amendment to Agreement with Maze & Associates for Auditing Services.
 - (a) Adoption of a Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Portola Valley Approving an Authorizing Execution of an Agreement for Auditing Services Between the Town of Portola Valley and Maze & Associates Accountancy Corporation (Resolution No. 2653-2015).

Councilmember Richards moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Seconded by Councilmember Wengert, the motion carried 4-0.

IV REGULAR AGENDA [7:41 p.m.]

- (A) Presentations None.
- (B) Committee Reports and Requests
 - (1) Report by the Bicycle, Pedestrian & Traffic Safety Committee Committee Annual Report to the Town Council.

Angela Hey presented the Annual Report.

Councilmember Wengert asked how they could help the Committee recruit new members. Ms. Hey suggesting talking to neighbors. She said they need people from a variety of neighborhoods.

Vice Mayor Derwin thanks Ms. Hey and the Committee and expressed appreciation for the amazing amount of work their small group has accomplished.

- Mr. Pegueros suggested the Council prioritize the requests made by the Committee.
- It would help if all committee minutes could be published on the town website, which has a place to put them, but minutes are never posted.

Councilmember Hughes asked if the Committee Chair post their minutes to the website. Mr. Pegueros said the Town Council, the Planning Commission, and the ASCC have their meeting minutes prepared by staff. He said this requires staff resources including attendance at the meetings and reviewing the minutes for accuracy and completeness prior to posting on the Town's website. Councilmember Wengert suggested that the Council liaisons could assist in the review of the minutes instead of burdening staff. Ms. Hey said that in an open, transparent town, you ought to be able to find the minutes of all Committees. She wants to simply upload PDF files of their Committee meeting minutes so they are easily accessible to everyone. Mr. Pequeros expressed concern that comments in Committee minutes could be erroneously construed as a Town opinion or as the Town being officially placed on notice, for example, of something the Committee considers unsafe (such as an intersection, path, trail). He suggested the Council advise the Committees to avoid making such statements. Councilmember Hughes suggested adding a disclaimer to the Committee minutes. Committee member Leslie Latham said they recognize that as a Committee they are advisory and have no authority, unless the Council tasks them with making a recommendation. She said, however, that the Committees consists of people with opinions and those opinions are discussed. Ms. Prince said the minutes are a public record whether it's on paper or on the website and it can be explained that the Committees do not make determinations for the Town, for example, on what is safe or not safe. Councilmember Wengert suggested that posting all Committee minutes would be a solution to the complaint that all Committees aren't being kept updated satisfactorily on the activities of other Committees. The Council agreed to task the Council liaisons with reviewing the Committee-approved meeting

minutes of all Committees that produce minutes and then posting them. Mr. Pegueros said they will start posting all Committee meeting minutes next month.

Improve processes so that recommendations ... can be implemented efficiently.

Councilmember Hughes said that sometimes recommendations are not adopted. He says there are currently processes in place but agrees the Council could improve. Mr. Pequeros said that historically signage decisions have been made by staff with the assumption that the Council, from a policy perspective, wants to make the lowest possible impact on the visual corridor. He said that at Windy Hill, for example, there was concern that the signage would look unappealing so staff moved forward with the compromise of making the signs brown. The challenge now is that those signs cannot be enforced. Mr. Pequeros said the fundamental direction being sought is how to move forward with everyday decisions with respect to the Town's roadways. Who decides what types of signage? How are those decisions being made? They want guidance from Council on a process, for example, when one Committee has one recommendation and another Committee has a different recommendation. Councilmember Wengert said the Council should set the priorities at the outset of discussion points to ensure that the Committee has a clear understanding of the Town's priorities on a case-by-case basis. Councilmember Hughes pointed out that the Council's priorities may not be clear at the beginning of an issue and may change as the other Committees weigh in. Councilmember Wengert suggested that the Council look at an issue in the overview and decide, based on the information known to date, the Council's priorities. Councilmember Richards suggested that these issues should come to the Council sooner and Staff should not be put it the middle of the Committees.

• Timely advance notice from the council representative of town council and other committee meetings that are likely to require input from the BPTS committee.

Mr. Pequeros said a challenge that underlines the topics being discussed is the question of who is driving staff resources. For example, Mr. Pequeros said that if a sidewalk recommendation comes to the Council, it is his responsibility to ensure the Council has the information it needs to make a decision. He said that if a Committee is making recommendations along those lines that drive the workload and priorities, without the Council necessarily even knowing what the issue is. He said there is a process concern. He said it is particularly sensitive with this Committee because the roads have historically been under the purview and management of staff. Staff operates with the general guiding principle that they try to consult everybody and almost always end up at a compromise and minimal impact. He said there is disagreement regarding whether or not crosswalks should be standardized, what the appropriate sidewalk is. This Committee has the potential to drive staff resources and that is one of staff's biggest challenges. Ms. Hey said the Committee also has the potential to relieve staff resources. She said the initial goal of the Committee was providing volunteers that would relieve staff resources. She said she does agree that sometimes the Committee does push the staff to do more and perhaps there should be a people budget at the beginning of the year. She said they want to know if the Committee is managed by the Town Staff, by the Town Council, or by Ed Holland, the Committee Chair. Vice Mayor Derwin said there is a clear hierarchy – the Council, the Manager, the Committees. Mr. Pegueros said that depends on the issue. He said the hierarchy on the roads issue is CalTrans, the Municipal Code, and then making it happen. The question is where does the Committee fit in that process. He said from a hierarchical standard, the Committees and the Town Manager work for the Council. The issue is who has the authority to put in a new crosswalk? Councilmember Wengert said setting their priorities has been difficult on a regular basis across all of these issues. She said the priority list changes and should be communicated to the Committee that the Council has directed staff to change the priority list and why that shift in the priority list was made.

Shared Resources

Mr. Pegueros said it has been an issue having the shared resources returned clean and to its proper location.

(2) Council Liaison Reports

- Councilmember Hughes Attended BPTS Committee meeting.
- Councilmember Wengert None.
- Councilmember Richards None.
- Vice Mayor Derwin Attended the Special Water Conservation Committee meeting.

(C) Public Hearings: None

(D) Staff Reports and Recommendations [8:34 p.m.]

(1) Report by Public Works Director – Wall Type Options for Alpine Road at Arastradero Road Shoulder Widening Project #2015-PW02

Howard Young presented the report. He requested recommendation from the Council regarding the three wall options.

Councilmember Hughes suggested that to achieve the goal of minimizing the aesthetic look of the wall, he prefers Option 3, with lighter colored wood painted in a color to match the brown grass behind it. Mr. Young said poppies and grasses could also be planted in the berm at the base of the wall and the I-beams could be painted or wood faced. Mr. Young said he would enlist the ASCC to assign a liaison.

In response to Vice Mayor Derwin's question, Mr. Howard said the ASCC specified that the three options were presented with no order of preference.

Councilmember Richards asked, with regard to Option 1, if there was flexibility to modify if during the excavation they found competent material where no wall was needed. He also liked Option 3, but would prefer, if possible, preserving the natural native stone in places where a wall wasn't necessary. Mr. Young said the ultimate goal is to satisfy the Town. If they come to a section that does not appear to need a wall, they will request a field call. He pointed out that flexibility comes at a cost and potential delay.

With no other questions, Vice Mayor Derwin brought it back to the Council for comments.

Councilmember Wengert thanked Mr. Young for his work. She favored Option 3, the steel I-beam and wood lagging. With regard to the aesthetics comments, she would leave that to a field decision, but not to the extent it would change or delay the project. She said the priority should be improving the safety and using materials that are rustic and rural while still maintaining the cost integrity.

Councilmember Richards favored Option 3, and hoped they could find some natural outcroppings that were feasible.

Councilmember Hughes favored Option 3.

Vice Mayor Derwin favored Option 2, but agreed with Councilmember Wengert in that she wants to see this project go forward and considers public safety the top priority.

The Council selected Option 3, the steel I-beam and wood lagging retaining wall. Mr. Young said the final design will be brought back to the Council.

(2) Presentation and Discussion - Community Choice Aggregation (CCA)

Peter Rumble, CEO, from California Clean Power, led the presentation describing community choice programs and their company in particular. Accompanying Mr. Rumble were the company's general counsel, Kelly Foley, and Jack Tibbetts.

Councilmember Wengert asked who typically sits on community choice boards. Mr. Rumble said the members are usually elected officials of the participating agencies, a County Board Member and seats from the communities. He cited the exception of Sonoma, who has the Assistant City Manager on the board. He said Sonoma has established various advisory committees consisting of interested community members rather than elected officials.

Councilmember Hughes asked if, in the case of Portola Valley, the Town Council would be the board. Mr. Rumble said that would be the recommendation; however, that would be a conversation between the Town Manager and Town Council.

Councilmember Wengert asked Mr. Rumble to elaborate regarding how money comes back into the community. Mr. Rumble said that, as with any Community Choice program, the utility sends out bills as usual. The individual or business would pay that bill to the utility. That utility then carves out the piece related to the Community Choice program and sends that to whoever is providing service for that Community Choice Program. In the California Clean Power business model, Mr. Rumble said that money would come to them to buy power on behalf of the Town and pay for the operational expenses. California Clean Power sets the guaranteed benefits in a contract. He said of the money that comes back to them from the utility, the first dollars pay those contractual obligations, the next dollars pay for the power procurement, the next dollars pay for their ongoing operation of the program, and the remaining dollars are revenue for their company. They call it a performance-based revenue, maximizing their revenue by doing a good job at securing the best deals in the power market and by running the program efficiently. For the JPA model, he said it's the same deal except there is no contractual guarantee met under a JPA.

Councilmember Wengert asked if there were tax benefits available to California Clean Power. Mr. Rumble said there were not and they were taxed as any corporation. He said the public benefit he described has no tax implications and they still pay corporate taxes. He said there are tax benefits for communities that want to use program revenue or leverage Community Choice to do, for example, a local generation project. They can secure financing and using the Town's government structure as a vehicle for a larger municipality.

Councilmember Hughes asked if anyone had used this model or if it has all been JPA so far. Mr. Rumble said so far it's been the JPA model in Marin and Sonoma. The City of Lancaster is moving forward as a city alone. They have had to leverage their general fund because they couldn't find the financing for it and they're bringing in the some of the same vendors that supported Marin and Sonoma. Mr. Rumble said they launched in December and are very close to signing contracts with a few jurisdictions in the North Bay and North Coast.

Councilmember Hughes asked him if they closed those anticipated deals, how close would they be to their 200,000 limit. Mr. Rumble said if all anticipated contracts were signed, they would be getting close but there would still be plenty of room for Portola Valley.

Councilmember Hughes asked what the governing Board's duties would be. Mr. Rumble said there are required annual meetings to approve the rate charged to the users. Beyond that, he said it is the Town's program to manage and it is at the Town's discretion how active they want to be – perhaps they want frequent progress reports and want to provide programming direction, etc. Mr. Pegueros added that he believes it's very similar to the Town's relationship with the garbage company, where the Council deals with the new rate each year, except that is a Council meeting and this would be a CCA Board meeting. Ms. Foley said it could be structured either way – the jurisdiction could be the CCA and it could just be a Council agenda item or it could be formed as an enterprise fund or as a JPA, at the Town's discretion.

Councilmember Hughes asked if the recent court decision in Orange County regarding the illegality of tiered water rate plans from a government entity would constrain the Town in any way under this agreement, since energy was being supplied by the Town as opposed to PG&E as a private entity. Mr. Rumble said that because this is a program where you can opt out, that burden is alleviated. Councilmember Wengert said it's being reported that that court decision may not stand. Ms. Foley said one of the findings in that litigation is there was no substantiation for the hierarchy, unlike an electric utility that had tiered rates based on a cost of service analysis, so the tiering was considered punitive. She said there have been a handful of lawsuits brought against CCA in a number of jurisdictions, none of which have made it past a motion to dismiss or summary judgment, based primarily on the fact it is not a mandatory service. She said that California Clean Power has a simple easy process for people to opt out.

Vice Mayor Derwin said that San Mateo County is moving quickly to form a CCA and eventually Portola Valley will be asked to join. She asked why Portola Valley should go with California Clean Power instead of the County. Mr. Rumble said the advantage of California Clean Power is that smaller communities can have more control versus negotiating their goals and benefits amongst many communities. He said their company is contractually obligated to fix the benefits coming into the program. He said if a Town goal was to be the first 100 percent renewable community in California, it would not likely be San Mateo. Ms. Foley added that one of the benefits of a JPA is that it acts almost like corporate protection for multiple governments working together. She said that historically, for the CCAs, the JPA has been written such that participants have no financial liability, and therefore the excess revenues cannot flow out of the JPA. She said that if you're part of a very large effort, there will be benefits, but the question would be if the smaller entities would get benefits specifically into their community or have a say to do, for instance, 100 percent renewable.

Ms. Hey asked if California Clean Power contracted with individuals. Mr. Rumble said Community Choice does not allow for that. Ms. Foley added there is an option for businesses, called Direct Access. She said that previously, from 1998 to 2000, individuals could buy from Green Mountain Energy, but that's no longer allowed and she believed it would take a lot of legislation for that to return.

Mr. Pegueros said this item was brought to bring the Council up to speed with discussions that staff has had with California Clean Power as an alternative to the County option. He said the proposal and structure being presented by California Clean Power is very appealing because of the local control versus working through the larger JPA with the Town's interests diluted based on the number of participants and the amount of energy that the Town uses. He said that while the Town is participating in the initial processes for the County CCA (gathering data, conducting the feasibility study, etc.), if the Council does decide to move forward with a CCA in any format, this is

the time for the Town to be looking at other options and explore different avenues. He said an exciting aspect of all of this is that it is a very fast moving space and the County clearly has taken a leadership role on this issue, but staff is concerned with the multiple forces that will influence the decisions made by the County. He is concerned that what makes Portola Valley most unique is its leadership and stewardship on environmental issues will get diluted and potentially lost. He said the County consultants have promoted to the constituents the lower rates as the advantage of the CCA. Mr. Pegueros pointed out that the lower rates will likely be derived by lowering the standard blends of renewables. With extensive due diligence by the Town, Mr. Pegueros said that California Clean Power's option could be a viable way to achieve a higher renewable rate than proposed by the County, or possibly even reaching 100 percent.

Mr. Pegueros said that at the next Council meeting, staff would like to bring back their plan for due diligence for California Clean Power. At that point, the Council can give clear direction of yes or no. He said a no would be wait to see what happens with the County and yes would be to go forward with a plan to do the due diligence on California Clean Power.

Councilmember Wengert asked if there were other alternatives. Mr. Pegueros said he was contacted earlier this week by a nonprofit out of Menlo Park called Menlo Spark, who is working to accelerate the environmental initiatives in the city of Menlo Park including encouraging Menlo Park to move forward with some type of CCA. Their goal is to help cities work through the challenges and the evaluation of CCAs. In his conversation with Menlo Spark, Mr. Pegueros was told there are some competitors beginning to emerge in this area, but they haven't formed just.

Ms. de Garmeaux said that PG&E also plans to release a green option in the third quarter of this year, but it will likely be more expensive to participate. Vice Mayor Derwin said PG&E's green option was not impressive.

Mr. Pegueros asked the Council to advise if they wanted staff to look at anything between now and when they come back in May.

Councilmember Wengert said she wants to see the underwriting and financial considerations. She asked if we were committing to a minimum five-year contract. Mr. Rumble said five years is the minimum. He said a longer term contract is better from an environmental perspective to drive the generation of renewable power projects, provide stability for their procurement practices, and give their partners some certainty. Ms. Foley added there is a roughly three-year cycle in the regulatory compliance elements.

Councilmember Richards said 100 percent renewable energy is very appealing; however, he is concerned regarding the long-term viability if there comes a point where there is not enough power available. He is also ambivalent about going to a utility scale versus more decentralized power, which may end up being the real future of power generation.

In response to Councilmember Hughes question, Mr. Pegueros said the Town authorized PG&E to provide data for the County and also to provide data to the Town to explore a CCA. Ms. de Garmeaux said we should have an update next week on when PG&E thinks they will be submitting that data, but did not think it would be as long as six months. Mr. Pegueros said one of the challenges we have is that not every account with ZIP Code 94028 is within the Town's corporate boundaries so they need to be sorted out.

Councilmember Wengert said that in the face of such a fast moving arena, the idea of a longerterm contract flies in the face of maintaining flexibility to be able to react to new opportunities; however, she does understand the regulatory environment in which the CCAs are operating. She said the Council needs to understand the issue adequately and be well versed enough to be able to explain it to the Town's residents and make that choice.

Councilmember Hughes asked if they have capitalized the \$15 million. Mr. Rumble said the money was in the bank and it came from private investors. Councilmember Hughes asked if they would be able to get more money if needed or if the investors would be looking for a quick exit or anything else that would be driving the course of California Clean Power over the next 5 to 20 years.

(3) Presentation and Discussion – Draft Drought Action Plan [10:03 p.m.]

Brandi de Garmeaux presented the staff update regarding the drought emergency. She presented a chart displaying the resources required for any efforts undertaken to address this issue, including enforcement, moratoriums, ordinances, education and awareness, and targeted conservation programs.

Ms. de Garmeaux said staff is asking the Council to prioritize conservation programs ahead of new ordinances in the interest of long-term sustainability. Staff would like to focus their resources on developing up to three successful programs. She said that upon receipt of the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (WELO) update, the Town will have a program well established and efforts can be focused toward studying and modifying in a way relevant to Portola Valley, at which time the Town could start looking at developing additional ordinances.

Ms. de Garmeaux said a Special Water Conservation Committee meeting is scheduled for April 29 and they will provide the Council with an update next week as well as a preliminary budget based on how the Council prioritizes tonight.

In response to Councilmember Hughes question, Ms. de Garmeaux said they do not yet know how the baseline amounts are calculated. Mr. Pegueros said the baselines focus would be more on health and safety issues versus irrigation.

In response to Councilmember Hughes' question regarding getting timely monthly aggregate data from Cal Water, Mr. Pegueros said the current regulations will require water agencies to report monthly. The challenge will be convincing Cal Water to provide us the data for Portola Valley using the ZIP Code 94028.

Councilmember Hughes suggested that target enforcement to large users may be less resource required with more impact. Mr. Pegueros said that would require an ordinance. Ms. Prince said the Town's code is set up for criminal enforcement with tiered fines, which requires the Sheriff writing a ticket or the Town filing a complaint in criminal court.

Ms. Prince said a moratorium would be achieved by adopting an interim ordinance that would last for a set period of time, allowing time to study it. She said it could then be extended, depending upon how it was adopted, to allow almost two years to decide whether or not to make it permanent.

Councilmember Richards asked if the Town had reached out to Woodside. Vice Mayor Derwin said a lunch meeting is scheduled with Cal Water which will include the Mayors of Woodside, Menlo Park, Atherton, and the City Managers and the Chair of the Water Conservation Task Force. She said they will be discussing the issue and how to work collaboratively to reach the goal.

Councilmember Hughes asked, since our programs may not begin until late-summer, if it was even possible to reach a 36% reduction. Ms. de Garmeaux said that the Town would immediately begin work on increasing awareness, giving us time to figure out what programs to launch on a target date of June 1. She said with the special meeting next week they are hoping to bring back the consultant to get potential programs underway.

Mr. Pegueros expressed concern that the Water Conservation Committee has a focused view involving strict code enforcement and modifying the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (WELO) and requested direction regarding priorities from the Town Council.

Councilmember Wengert said first priority should be to collaborate and work with Cal Water. She said education would be the second priority, with consistent messaging, tips of the week, postcards, etc. She agreed that the conservation needed to start now and not wait for official regulations to be announced.

Councilmember Richards said education was a priority.

Councilmember Wengert asked if staff was also looking for guidance regarding ordinances. Ms. de Garmeaux said staff preferred education and awareness before ordinances.

Vice Mayor Derwin agreed that the Water Conservation Committee was overly-focused on the calling out the big water users and the Council needed to advise them it was not an effective approach to address the issue. She would like to have the ability to compare monthly Portola Valley water usage as a whole, but said there is no need to gather individual data. Councilmember Wengert said if there was long-term noncompliance on the part of the largest users, there would be some ability to manage that.

The Council directed staff to focus on education and awareness first. Vice Mayor Derwin will advise the Water Conservation Committee that the Town does not support identifying the top water users. Mr. Pegueros suggested working with the Water Conservation Committee to address the large institutional users.

Mr. Pegueros said the WELO opportunity is already in place. He said the Water Conservation Committee identified an issue in that the Town adopted the ordinance, but did not follow through in the implementation for existing landscape. Mr. Pegueros asked if the ordinance questions should be parallel, secondary, or primary relative to WELO. He said the Water Conservation Committee, a passionate group, had done a lot of work on all of this, but were partially evaluating the ordinances in a vacuum, without having done the stakeholder outreach. Mr. Pegueros felt compromise was important and the Town needed to find a way to involve everyone. Councilmember Wengert said it might be more beneficial for the Committee to stay focused on the earlier items. She suggested the Council focus on WELO, and then directing the Planning Commission – managing that process in the usual way.

Ms. de Garmeaux said she thinks the graywater ordinance fits nicely with updating the building ordinance. She also emphasized the benefit of the Town having a collaborative relationship with Cal Water.

Mr. Pegueros said staff retained the firm, Colehour + Cohen, and find their input to be very valuable. The Council agreed.

(E) Council Liaison Reports on Regional Agencies and Organizations [9:44 p.m.]

Volume XXXXIII Page 1715 April 22, 2015

(1)	Councilmember Richards – Attended the County Emergency Services Council meeting on April 16.
(2)	Councilmember Hughes – None.
(3)	Councilmember Wengert - None
(4)	Vice Mayor Derwin attended the C/CAG Annual Retreat Meeting on April 9, C/CAG Resource Management Climate Protection on April 15, and San Mateo County HEART Board meeting April 22, 2015.
WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS - None	
ADJOURNMENT [10:47 p.m.]	
Vice Mayor Derwin adjourned the meeting.	

Town Clerk

٧

۷I

Mayor